ITEM NO.3 COURT NO.3 SECTION X SUPREME COURT OF INDIA RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) NO(s). 197 OF 2011 VIVEK DUDEJA & ORS. Petitioner(s) VERSUS UNION OF INDIA & ORS. Respondent(s) (With appln(s) for directions and office report) WITH W.P(C) NO. 229 of 2011 (With appln.(s) for interim relief and office report) Date: 13/05/2011 This Petition was called on for hearing today. CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE R.V. RAVEENDRAN HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.K. PATNAIK For Petitioner(s) Ms. Indu Malhotra, Sr. Adv. Vikram Mehta, Adv. Mr. Ms. Prerna Priyadarshini, Adv. Ms. D. Durga Devi, Adv. Mr. Vikas Mehta, Adv. Ms. Jaikriti S. Jadeja, Adv. Ms. Madhusmita Bora, Adv Abhishek Garg, Adv. Mr. Balaji Srinivasan, Adv. Mr. For Respondent(s) Mr. Gopal Subramaniam, SG Ms. Rekha Pandey, Adv. Mr. Tapesh, Adv. Ms. Sudha Pal, Adv. MCI Mr. Amarendra Sharan, Sr. Adv. Mr. Amit Kumar, Adv Mr. Ashish Kumar, Adv. Mr. Somesh Chandra Jha, Adv. St. of Assam Mr. Riku Sharma, Adv. Mr. Navnit Kumar, Adv. for M/s. Corporate Law Group, Adv.

- 2 -

St. of Goa Ms. Subhashini, Adv. St. of Bihar Mr. Gopal Singh, Adv. Mr. Manish Kumar, Adv. St. of Haryana Mr. Kamal Mohan Gupta, Adv. Mr. Gourav Teotia, Adv. Mr. Sanjeev Kumar, Adv. St. of MP Ms. Vibha Datta Makhija, Adv. Mr. Vikrant Singh Bais, Adv. Mr. B.S. Banthia, Adv. St. of Maharashtra Mr. Sanjay Kharde, Adv. Ms. Asha G. Nair, Adv. St. of UP Mr. Mukesh Verma, Adv. Ms. Niranjana Singh, Adv. St. of TN Mr. T. Harish Kumar, Adv. Mr. Prasant P., Adv. Mr. V. Vasudevan, Adv. St. of Orissa Ms. Rukmani, Adv. Mr. Suresh Ch. Tripathy, Adv.

UPON hearing counsel the Court made the following O R D E R $\,$

Writ petitions stand disposed of in terms of the signed order.

(Ravi P. Verma) (M.S. Negi)
Court Master
[Signed order is placed on the file]

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF INDIA

CIVIL ORIGINAL JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION(C) NO. 197 OF 2011

Vivek Dudeja & Ors.

.....Petitioners

....Respondents

Versus

Union of India & Ors.

WITH

W.P.(C) NO. 229 OF 2011

ORDER

We have heard the learned Solicitor General, learned senior counsel for the petitioner and for the MCI and the counsel for the States.

2. Several States have not completed the first round of counselling. The second round of counselling for the All India quota, comes to an end today. After hearing learned counsel and having considered the similar order passed during the previous years, we issue the following directions in regard to the schedule for extended second round of Post Graduate Counselling 2011 for All India quota:

- 2 -

....2.

Date	Detail
22.5.2011	Time-schedule to be hosted on the website
29.5.2011	by Directorate General of Health Services All States must report updated number of vacancies resulting from non-joining, resigning and surrendering of seats in 'All India Quota' to the Directorate General of
3.6.2011	Health Services by 5 PM on 29.5.2011 Total number of vacancies to be notified by Directorate General of Health Services
From 4.6.2011	Extended 2nd round of Counselling to be
to 19.6.2011	conducted by Directorate General of Health Services at Delhi Counselling Venue only
24.6.2011	The last date for the students to join allotted College.
25.6.2011	Vacant 'All India Quota' seats may be deemed to be surrendered to the States
30.6.2011	Final deadline for the students to join allotted course

3. We direct that the unfilled seats of All India

quota which would be deemed to have lapsed in favour of the State Governments as at the end of the second counselling today, will not so lapse in favour of the State Governments in view of the extended second round of counselling. To ensure that the entire extended second counselling is completed within the time schedule, the extended second counselling for the All India quota shall be held at Delhi. The State Governments shall adhere to the above time schedule particularly to the second item in regard to reporting of vacancies.

- 3 -

4. As a consequence, we permit the counselling for undergraduate (MBBS) course to commence from 2.7.2011.

5. The learned counsel submit that these Writ Petitions may be disposed of in view of the said order.

6. Accordingly, with the above observations writ petitions stand disposed of. We hope that at least from next year, the States will keep up to the schedule without there being any need for such orders.

(R.V. RAVEENDRAN)

New Delhi;J. May 13, 2011. (A.K. PATNAIK)

This judgment can be downloaded from: http://courtnic.nic.in/supremecourt/temp/wc%2019711p.txt